



# Guiding Students on a Path to Success

Developing Educational Plans and Assessing GPS

Dr. Dana Chapman – Sr Director of IR & Retention

Dr. Elizabeth Jordan – Sr Director of Student Systems



### **About Wilmington University**



#### **University Overview**

- Private, nonprofit university (based in Delaware), established in 1967 focused on non-traditional students
- National reputation serving close to 20,000 students nationally and internationally, in person and online
- Open access admissions no SAT/ACT/GRE/GMAT requirements
- Most affordable institution of its kind in the region



#### Who Are WilmU Students?



- 87% of students work full or part time
- 79% of students transfer credits
- 66% of students are women
- 39% diversity among student population
- 75% of students are over the age of 25
- 77% undergrad students study part-time
- 85% utilize online learning options (higher % post-COVID return)

### Some more details...

- Advising is recommended but not required
- 12,500 Undergraduate students with 15 professional advisors across multiple campuses (800+:1 Student – Advisor Ratio)
- Ellucian ecosystem Banner, Degree Works, CRM Recruit, CRM Advise, etc.
- Why Guided Pathways?
  - Improve Retention & Graduation Rates by
    - Identifying the Path
    - Help Students get on the Path
    - Help Students stay on the Path
    - Ensure Students are Learning and Staying



## Educational Plan Implementation and Communication



- Guided Pathways was rolled out in 3 phases
  - Fall 2019
    - 1007 students in one academic college
  - Fall 2020
    - 1252 students in four academic colleges
  - Fall 2021
    - 2025 students in six academic colleges



- Frequent meetings between administrative and academic staff to discuss topics related to GPS
  - Create Academic Maps and Identify Critical Courses
  - Create Structures Onboarding Process
  - Pro-Active Advising
  - Develop Informative and East-to-Navigate Website for Prospective New Students
  - Early Alert and Student Success Monitoring
  - Determining Assessment Metrics

### Academic Affairs Involvement

- Program managers identified in each Academic College
- Program Chairs Developed course sequencing
  - Excel templates were provided
  - Identified critical courses
  - Tied to the Two Year Course Planner
- Key members in collaborative meetings



### **Educational Plan Development**

#### Program - Concentration Full Degree - Full Time (15 credits) - Spring Start Spring Year 1 (Term 1) (0 - 15 credit Fall Year 1 (Term 2) (15 - 30 credits) Course Min. Grade Critical (Y/N) Course Min. Grade Critical (Y/N) CTA 210 DSN 210 FYE 101 ENG 122 С ENG 121 C ANI 321 MAT 205 ANI 322

▼ Fall Ye	▼ Fall Year 1 15.0					
Critical	Course Requirement		Credits	Minimum Grade	Notes	
	CTA 210	Q	3.0	None 🔻	lì l	
	DSN 105	Q	3.0	None •	L)	
	FYE 101	Q	3.0	None *	lì .	
	ENG 121	Q	3.0	C *	n l	
	MAT 205	Q	3.0	C *	L)	
▼ Spring	▼ Spring Year 1 15.0					
Critical	Course Requirement		Credits			
			Credits	Minimum Grade	Notes	
✓	DSN 210	Q	3.0	None *	Notes	
	DSN 210 ECO 105	Q Q				
			3.0	None 🔻		
	ECO 105	Q	3.0	Non: ▼	h h	

### Academic Advisor Processes

- Training provided to ensure consistency
- New students encouraged via text message to make an educational plan appointment with advisor
  - Educational plan added during appointment
  - Educational plan added outside of appointment for those who don't need with an advisor
    - Students are instructed how to read the plan
    - Students have view access to their educational plan but must contact an advisor to have it adjusted
  - If a critical course is missed, student receives an email





- Original operations metrics focused on first-term only measurements (first-term advising appointments, first-term critical courses passed, etc.)
- Original outcomes metrics focused on longer-term outcomes (retention, graduation rates, etc.)
- Consulted with EAB and reviewed other research in bestpractices to develop new metrics and reporting plan



#### **Present and Leading and Lagging Metrics Defined**

- Revised Operational Metrics:
  - Admissions onboarding data
  - Career Services utilization data (using a career assessment)
  - Advising Educational Plans metrics in first-term and beyond (# & % discussing Ed Plans with Advisors in first, second, and third terms)
  - Off-track/Missed Critical Course data by term



#### Present and Leading and Lagging Metrics Defined

- Revised Outcomes Metrics:
  - Leading Metrics (short-term)
    - Credits per term/per year
    - Critical course on-track per term
    - Critical course completion rates per term
    - Other course completion rates per term
    - First-second term retention rates
    - Academic standing by term
    - Drops per term



#### Present and Leading and Lagging Metrics Defined

- Revised Outcomes Metrics:
  - Lagging Metrics (long-term)
    - First-second year retention rates
    - Second-third and beyond retention rates
    - Critical course on-track post first-year
    - Graduation rates
    - Total credits taken per year through completion



## Outcomes



#### **Operational and Outcomes Highlights**

- Consistent Admissions engagement rates (50%), although conversion rates are NOT higher for those who engaged
- Low % (<10%) of students engaging with Career Services to take career assessment
- Educational Plans Discussed with Advisor
  - Decrease in students discussing Ed Plans with Advisor (34% of first cohort discussed declining to 21% 2 years later)
    - Impacts of COVID!
- Critical Courses/Staying on Plan
  - *Increase* in students registering for critical course by term suggested (35% in first cohort 57% 2 years later)



- Engagement with Advising is key!
  - For ALL leading outcomes metrics, results were higher for those students who discussed Ed Plan with Advisor
  - Examples:
    - Good Academic Standing: 64% vs 53%
    - Took Critical Course: 89% vs 64%
    - First-Second Term Retention: 81% vs 69%
    - First-Second Year Retention: 55% vs 37%



### Lessons Learned & Next Steps

## Lessons Learned

- Engaging with students throughout process is critical
  - Revise Admissions onboarding process
  - Requiring advising
  - Communicate benefits of Career Services assessment
- Communication PRE-registration (ex. sharing critical course before registration)
- Educational plans encouraged in first term encourage advising meetings post-first term
- University Community communication

### Q & A

